#### Message

From: Baptist, Erik [baptist.erik@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 8/18/2017 7:00:53 PM

To: Gunasekara, Mandy [Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov]; Bolen, Brittany [bolen.brittany@epa.gov]

CC: Bowman, Liz [Bowman.Liz@epa.gov]

**Subject**: RE: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

Works for me too.

## **Erik Baptist**

Senior Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsyvlania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 564-1689
baptist.erik@epa.gov

From: Gunasekara, Mandy

Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 2:57 PM

To: Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <box>baptist.erik@epa.gov>

Cc: Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

I like Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Bolen, Brittany

**Sent:** Friday, August 18, 2017 2:41 PM **To:** Baptist, Erik <a href="mailto:baptist.erik@epa.gov">baptist.erik@epa.gov</a>

Cc: Gunasekara, Mandy < Gunasekara. Mandy@epa.gov >; Bowman, Liz < Bowman. Liz@epa.gov >

Subject: Re: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 18, 2017, at 2:02 PM, Baptist, Erik <a href="mailto:specific-erik@epa.gov">baptist.erik@epa.gov</a> wrote:

I am okay with this part of Mandy's proposed response:

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

### **Erik Baptist**

Senior Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsyvlania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 564-1689
baptist.erik@epa.gov

From: Gunasekara, Mandy

Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 1:52 PM

To: Bolen, Brittany < bolen.brittany@epa.gov >; Baptist, Erik < baptist.erik@epa.gov >

Cc: Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Bolen, Brittany

**Sent:** Friday, August 18, 2017 1:50 PM **To:** Baptist, Erik < baptist.erik@epa.gov>

Cc: Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>; Gunasekara, Mandy <Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

Agree.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 18, 2017, at 1:21 PM, Baptist, Erik < baptist.erik@epa.gov > wrote:

Liz,

David forwarded me your email below, as I am the lead deputy on this issue. I do not believe **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)** Mandy and Brittany?

### Erik Baptist

Senior Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsyvlania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 564-1689

From: Fotouhi, David

Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 1:08 PM
To: Baptist, Erik <a href="mailto:baptist.erik@epa.gov">baptist.erik@epa.gov</a>

Subject: Fwd: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

## Sent from my iPhone

## Begin forwarded message:

From: "Bowman, Liz" < Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>

Date: August 18, 2017 at 1:07:32 PM EDT

To: "Dravis, Samantha" < dravis.samantha@epa.gov >, "Jackson,

Ryan" < <u>jackson.ryan@epa.gov</u>>, "Gunasekara, Mandy"

< Gunasekara. Mandy@epa.gov >, "Bolen, Brittany"

<br/><bol>
 Solen.brittany@epa.gov
 "Fotouhi, David"

<fotouhi.david@epa.gov>, "Schwab, Justin"

<schwab.justin@epa.gov>

Subject: Fwd: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

Is there anything we can say here?

Sent from my iPhone

## Begin forwarded message:

From: "Tabuchi, Hiroko"

<<u>hiroko.tabuchi@nytimes.com</u>>

**Date:** August 18, 2017 at 12:59:50 PM EDT **To:** "Bowman, Liz" < <u>Bowman, Liz@epa.gov</u> > **Subject:** NYT query -- tailpipe emissions

standards

Hi Liz.

I'm working on a story looking at tailpipe emissions standards and the California Air Resources Board's defense of the targets set under Obama administration. I know that EPA and NHTSA have now reopened the midterm review for GHG and CAFE standards.

I quote CARB chair Mary Nichols saying the agency is standing firm, prepared to sue, prepared to do what they need to do to defend those standards.

Does EPA have a response? And I know that Administrator Pruitt has said he has no current plans to challenge California's waiver under the Clean Air Act to set its own air quality standards -- but could that change?

I'm working toward a Monday EOB deadline, and am reachable at my direct line 212-556-2642 and Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Thanks, Hiroko

Hiroko Tabuchi | Reporter | The New York Times | Phone 212-556-2642 |

Cell Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) hiroko.tabuchi@nytimes.com http://www.nytimes.com/by/hiroko-tabuchi

#### Message

From: Sorokin, Nicholas [sorokin.nicholas@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 8/18/2017 6:56:55 PM

**To**: AO OPA OMR CLIPS [AO\_OPA\_OMR\_CLIPS@epa.gov]

Subject: The Weekly Standard: New York Times: Pruitt's EPA Secrecy Is 'Extraordinary'-but EPA Secrecy Under Obama?,

8/18/17

## **The Weekly Standard**

http://www.weeklystandard.com/new-york-times-pruitts-epa-secrecy-is-extraordinary-but-epa-secrecy-under-obama/article/2009346

New York Times: Pruitt's EPA Secrecy Is 'Extraordinary'-but EPA Secrecy Under Obama?

By Irwin M. Stelzer, 8/18/17

The New York Times is upset with EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt. It seems that under the cloak of "rampant secrecy" has set out to "weaken an agency once known for transparency."

Agency lifers complain that Pruitt, according to the *Times*, "is taking extraordinary measures to conceal his actions." Paranoia is rampant among the 15,000 staffers, not to mention among the Pruitt team.

As the old saying goes, just because you're paranoid doesn't mean no one is out to get you. The staff is right to worry: Its expansive regulatory agenda is Pruitt's target. And the administrator's team is also right to worry: they are the targets of a staff that views them as a hostile army sent by an illegitimate president to undo the works of their lifetimes.

But for the *Times* to suddenly worry about Pruitt's lack of "transparency" is a bit rich.

Under President Obama, EPA administrator Gina McCarthy refused to provide the House Science, Space, and Technology Committee with data underlying the EPA's Clean Air Act regulations, citing privacy concerns. She even held her ground in the face of a report by the National Academy of Sciences saying that "Nothing in the past suggests that increasing access to data without damage to privacy and confidentiality rights is beyond scientific reach."

It seems that an Obama administrator's protection of privacy is a Trump administrator's rampant secrecy. At least to the *New York Times*.

Nicholas Sorokin Office of Media Relations Intern U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Telephone: (202) 564-5334 sorokin.nicholas@epa.gov

#### Message

From: Baptist, Erik [baptist.erik@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 8/18/2017 5:49:51 PM

To: Dravis, Samantha [dravis.samantha@epa.gov]; Schwab, Justin [schwab.justin@epa.gov]

CC: Bowman, Liz [Bowman.Liz@epa.gov]; Jackson, Ryan [jackson.ryan@epa.gov]; Gunasekara, Mandy

[Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov]; Bolen, Brittany [bolen.brittany@epa.gov]; Fotouhi, David [fotouhi.david@epa.gov]

**Subject**: RE: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

Agreed.

## **Erik Baptist**

Senior Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsyvlania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 564-1689
baptist.erik@epa.gov

From: Dravis, Samantha

**Sent:** Friday, August 18, 2017 1:45 PM **To:** Schwab, Justin <schwab.justin@epa.gov>

Cc: Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>; Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>; Gunasekara, Mandy

<Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>; Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>; Fotouhi, David <fotouhi.david@epa.gov>;

Baptist, Erik <baptist.erik@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

I would say Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) What do others think?

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 18, 2017, at 1:21 PM, Schwab, Justin <schwab.justin@epa.gov> wrote:

Looping in Erik

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 18, 2017, at 1:07 PM, Bowman, Liz < Bowman. Liz@epa.gov> wrote:

Is there anything we can say here?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Tabuchi, Hiroko" < hiroko.tabuchi@nytimes.com >

**Date:** August 18, 2017 at 12:59:50 PM EDT **To:** "Bowman, Liz" < <u>Bowman.Liz@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: NYT query -- tailpipe emissions standards

Hi Liz,

I'm working on a story looking at tailpipe emissions standards and the

California Air Resources Board's defense of the targets set under Obama administration. I know that EPA and NHTSA have now reopened the

midterm review for GHG and CAFE standards.

I quote CARB chair Mary Nichols saying the agency is standing firm,

prepared to sue, prepared to do what they need to do to defend those

standards.

Does EPA have a response? And I know that Administrator Pruitt has

said he has no current plans to challenge California's waiver under the Clean Air Act to set its own air quality standards -- but could that change?

I'm working toward a Monday EOB deadline, and am reachable at my

direct line 212-556-2642 and Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Thanks, Hiroko

Hiroko Tabuchi | Reporter | The New York Times | Phone 212-556-2642 |

Cell Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) <u>hiroko.tabuchi@nytimes.com</u> | http://www.nytimes.com/by/hiroko-tabuchi